## **Revisiting Paperless Admission at UCLA iSchool**

Yi Ding - MLIS 2017

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION STUDIES

**Admission** Records Workflow:

**Applications are** submitted online. **Transcripts and** diplomas are mailed.

Online application files are dragged to FileMaker Pro and put in CCLE.

All paper records are scanned and added to CCLE

**GPA** is manually calculated. Diploma authenticity is checked.

A copy of all applications (140+) is printed for review.

Admission letters are mailed. Other records are kept until the retention period has lapsed.

**Level of Paperless:** 

**User Experience:** 

\*\*\* \*\*\*

Transcripts

\*\*\*

\*\*\* \*\*\*

\*\*\* \*\*\*

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

Contexts:

include GRE score reports, TOEFL score reports, and college transcripts.

 The application management system is Hobsons.

Only a third of

transcripts are

currently sent

- · Director of Office of Student Services at Ed & IS (Graduate School of Education and Information Studies) and Student Affairs Officer at IS work together in this process.
- CCLE is a UCLA collaborative online platform supposed to support admission review.
- An application checklist is used for record checking for every applicant.
- MLIS program requires only Junior and Senior accumulative GPA
- Students from different countries are required different documents by the Graduate Division.
- It's a unique preference of the IS Department. Ed Department doesn't do this.
- An application usually ranges from 20 pages to 100 pages, which add up to **2800**-**14000** pieces of paper to print out.

UCLA requires 5 years of retention period for all admission files, 3 vears for all enrolled students. and permanent for grade records.

**Challenges:** 



- Lack of streamlined practice between Hobsons, FileMaker, and **CCLE**
- Time consuming: "tons of tons of tons of" files
- · Cost and space of storing and shredding records
- The unique GPA requirement of the Department
- · Lack of standardized tabular data in transcripts from different universities
- Security issue to review in paper
- Functional affordances of Paper
- Resistance from faculty
- Lack of review functionality infrastructure set up in Hobsons
- Affective affordances of Paper: paper admission letters as carriers of personal memory and accountability

**Recommendations:** 

**Less Paper but not Paperless** 

- Encourage students to order electronic transcripts, which usually cost two dollars cheaper than paper transcript
- Simplify the process by eliminating the CCLE step



- "I don't see any way around it, just because we have to get authentic copies."
- **Potential** Partnership with transcript sender company



- Get support from the Graduate Division to specify admission review process
- Utilize the more userfriendly review system in Hobsons or future application management systems
- Include an option in application system for applicants to optout paper copy admission letter