Adventures in Media and Cultural Studies: Introducing the KeyWorks

Douglas M. Kellner and Meenakshi Gigi Durham

Few would diagner with the claim that motia and calture today are of central importance to the minerance and reproduction of commenspray societies. Societies, like species, need to reproduce to survive, and online collisions attributed and behavior that produces proper to consort conference of the collisions attributed and behavior that the collisions of the collisions

With media and culture plasing such important roles in contemporary life, it is obvious that we must come to understand our cultural environment if we want control over our lives. Yet there are many approaches to the study of media, cultural and society in separate disciplines and academic fields. Often critics take a single perspective and use a specific method and theory to understand, nake seens of interpret, or criticize media and cultural texts. Others eschew all methodological and theoretical critical strategies in force of empirical description and analysis.

We would advocate the unfailness of a wide range of theoretical and methodological approaches to the study of media, culture, and society, yet we do not believe that any one theory or method is adequate to engage the richness, complexity, variety, and novely dishpyted in contemporary consolutions of rapidly profilerating colutual forms and new media. We have therefore assembled what we consider some "Key-Web?" of current thorous and methods for the study of the aduntance and diversity of culture and media in the present age. The texts we have chosen are "Key" because we believe that the prespectives and theories which we have included in this volume

are among the most significant and serviceable for engaging the forms and influences of contemporary media and culture. The texts in this reader provide "keys" which help unlock the domain of meaning,

value, politics, and ideology in familiar forms of cultural artifacts and practices. They furnish openings which enable critical readers to see cultural texts and phenomena in a new light, generating insight into the sometimes hidden production processes and ideological constraints of media culture. Key theories and methods help unlock and unveil structural codes and organizing conventions of media texts, their meanings and values, and often contradictory social and political effects. Understanding culture critically also provides insight into the ways that media and culture construct gender and role models, and even identities, as the populace come to pattern their lives on the celebrities and stars of media culture. These readings are also "key" in that they open new theoretical directions and formulations of culture and society; at the time of their writing, they presented inventive and sometimes revolutionary new directions in the study of media and culture.

The texts selected are "works" in that their methods and theories enable media literate readers to engage in the activity of analysis, interpretation, criticism, and making sense of their cultural and social worlds and experiences. The theories and methods presented provide tools for critical vision and practice, helping to produce active creators of meaning and interpretation, rather than merely passive audiences. The KevWorks thus empower those who wish to gain skills of media literacy, providing instruments of criticism and interpretation. They provide essential elements of becoming intelligent and resourceful cultural subjects, discriminating readers, and creative users and producers of contemporary culture.

Thus, the texts assembled in this book can help cultural consumers to become critics and creators. Our introduction will accordingly attempt to demonstrate how the diverse approaches and texts that we have assembled provide valuable keys to cultural criticism and interpretation, helping to produce more competent and discriminating critics. We discuss below how the specific groupings of the KerWorks provide different approaches to the study of media and culture and point to the contributions and limitations of each perspective. In this introduction, we accordingly furnish overviews of each distinctive way of seeing and engaging culture and media. More detailed presentation of the theorists and critics we have chosen. together with explications of the key concepts, theories, and methods selected, will head each of the five parts we have delineated.

Theory/Method/Critique: A Multiperspectival Approach

There is only a perspective "seeing", only a perspective "knowing"; and the more affects we allow to speak about one thing, the more complete will our "concept" of this thing, our "objectivity," be.

(Friedrich Nietwehe)

Our opening discussion will give the reader a sense of current debates and issuewith cultural and modificative, emphasizing which issues and conversels are of crucial importance in the contemporary ear. Our number will track sident develoption of the contemporary of the contemporary ear. Our number will track sident develoption of the contemporary of the contemporary of the contemporary of the history, to pursue our Krijfshirt metaphor, each perspective provides an opening in the complete travel of contemporary metaphor and and culture, finnishing access to into the complete travel of contemporary metaphors, each perspective provides an opening to the contemporary of the contemporary of the contemporary of the domains, such as declosing, the politics of representations, and cultural studies. They provide mored ways of certain gain understanding the file can affine of images, symbols, and messages through which we wander, typing to make sense and gavgate to one files.

complex, and the debates over media and culture so intense and convoluted that we have necessarily had to choose some perspectives and theorists to the exclusion of others. In fact, there are many forms of media that saturate our everyday lives and the cultural change of the current technological revolution is so turbulent that it is becoming increasingly difficult to map the transformations and to keep up with the cultural discourses and theories that attempt to make sense of it all. Culture today is both ordinary and complex, encompassing multiple realms of everyday life. We - and many of the theorists assembled in this volume - employ the term "culture" broadly to signify types of cultural artifacts (i.e., TV, CDs, newspapers, paintings, opera, journalism, cyberculture, and so on), as well as discourses about these phenomena. Since culture is bound up with both forms, like film or sports, and discourses, it is both a space of interpretation and debate as well as subject matter and domain of inquiry. Theories and writings like this introduction are themselves modes of culture, spaces that attempt to make sense of particular phenomena and subject matter, and a part of a contemporary cultural field A theory is a way of seeing, an optic, that focuses on a specific subject matter. The

Grick word identité signifier perspective and vision which current upon specific rejecprocesses, and arthuriste, as a theory of the sate focuses on how the percentain words. Thories are also modes of explanation and interpretation that construct connections and illuminate selectualing perfects and structures, this helping to computer ordware field would indicate what particular issues are at take. Thus, computer ordware field would indicate what particular issues are at take. Thus, computer ordware field would indicate what particular issues are at take. Thus, much many the proposition of the proposition of the proposition of the explanation. There is also a marrieric component to thory as in Adam Smith's or Earl comment, as well as describing how it works and in Mart's case offering a critique and proposal of evolutionary transformation.

All social theories are thus perspectives that center attention on phenomena and their connections to the broader society and a wide range of institutions, discourses, and practices. As opties, or ways of seeing, they illuminate part of the social and cultural field, but may ignore or leave in darkness other dimensions. Consequently,

constantly expanding one's theoretical perspectives and horizons helps to Illuminate undiple dimensions of our cultural environment, providing richer and more complex understandings of our sociecultural life. Multiplying theories and methods at one's diposal helps to grapp the diverse dimension of an object, to make more and better connections, and thus provide richer and more comprehensive understanding of II. In therefore our conviction that no rous aerosach contributes are lever to cultural.

and media critision, that all given theories and methods have their limitations as well as a trangilla, this hidilapses as well saimitating represents. Hence, in our sixe, no one theory, method, or thinker dispenses privileged access to the truth of our coulture and society, there is no magical formula or hemoremic key to unlock the hidden secrets of cultural meaning and effects. Rather, we believe that the categories, theories, and restar presented in KpWibbergrowide toods for making ment our life, or — to wirth the metaphor — wepnoss of critique which enable individuals to engage in discriminating peacies in district contests.

Furthermore, some of the theoretical perspectives offered will faminish useful muterial for some tasks, which others will promove valuable for different projects. Some one might thoose, for instance, to do a feminist reading of a cultural text, while at another time the category of race or dash may be most substitute to one's critical another time to except the contract of the contract of the contract of the vectors. Likewise, one critical exercise might focus on the ideology of the text and the ways that texts lightimate and reproduce dominant forms of oppression, while another reading might emphasize the ways that specific sexts resist dominant institutions and ledologies — or show how certain texts both legislature and content by

established culture and society at the same time and are thus markedly ambiguous. Viewing culture from political economy, from the perspective of analysis of the properties of the properties

It is our consistion that competent and critical cultural communes and commensation need to be able to examine media, culture, and society from a variety of perspectives, in order to cultivate critical vision and understanding of the nature and efficts of cultural production and the artifacts with which we interast. Each new approach, each new theory, equips the budding critic with a different way of seeing and interpreting, thus creating more deserse perspective for understanding media and culture. Thus, the many concepts, theories, and methods embedded in the criticism and commensation to become connector critical cultural.

criticism, and consequently to become competent critics and consumers.

The texts and approaches we have chosen for KeyWiss are foundational in the sense that they provide building-blocks for construction analyses; interpretations, and

criticisms of cultural texts and the societies in which they conjunte and operate. Most of the selections are "fundil" in the cents which they go to the rost of the situation (the meaning of the Lasin term radio, showing, five cample, how media and cultural selected are "societies" of the situation of the situa

The theorists and writings chosen accordingly provide critical understandings and interpretations of media and culture, showing how they are often constructed to serve specific social interests and functions - and yet can be read, enjoyed, and interpreted in a multiplicity of ways. We conceive of KeyWorks as a toolkit that enables individuals to produce their own understandings, meanings, and critiques of contemporary culture, media, and society. We will try to make these often complex perspectives on media and culture accessible and to make our text "user-friendly" by, first, explaining in the sections that follow the key concepts and methods deployed in the leading competing approaches to the study of media and culture, and by introducing the theorists presented in our reader. At the beginning of each part, we provide more detailed contextualizing of both the particular topics through which we have organized the collection and the theorists and texts chosen. While the book was designed to be employed in classroom situations, we also hope that enterprising readers will use it on their own to become more competent cultural consumers and critics: hence, we also hope that it will prove valuable to people who wish to educate themselves in the theories and methods of cultural and media criticism. Consequently, we begin with discussion of the origins and meanings of some key concepts to begin the trek toward a more empowering cultural and media literacy that will enable people to make better sense of their world and to become more competent actors within it.

Culture, Ideology, and Hegemony

The ideas of the ruling class are in every epoch the ruling ideas; i.e., the class which is the ruling material force of society, is at the same mine is ruling insufferial force. The class which is the the same nof material production as its disposal has control at the same time is ruling insufferial force. The class which has the means of material production as its disposal has control at the same time over the means of mental production, so that thereby, generally speaking, the ideas of those who lack the means of mental production are subject to it.

(Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels)

Contemporary criticism has forced students and teachers to see that there are no innocent texts, that all artifacts of the established culture and society are laden with meaning, values, biases, and messages. There is no pure entertainment that does not

contain representations - often extremely presidedial - of class, gender, race, exsuality, and myrind social extegrois and groupings. Cultural textus are surrated with social meanings, they generate political effects, reproducing or opposing governing social immediancy, they generate political effects, reproducing or opposing governing social immittations and relations of domination and absorbilations. Culture can also embody specific political discourses - liferral, conservative, oppositional, or mixed - advancing competing political sposition on insures and as the family and extensity macunitary or ferminating, or violence and war. Cultural representations thus often transocio major ferminating, or violence and war. Cultural representations thus often transocio major more consistent and associations.

Calture in teday's societies thus constitutes a set of discourses, stories, images, spectacles, and varying oftunal forms and particies that generate meaning, identities, and political effects. Culture includes artificies such as newspapers, television programs, mories, and popular music, but also practical lest despinging saverhing spectagrams, mories, and popular music, but also practical lest despinging, saverhing spects familiar part of vervaley life, yet special cultural artificies are custroordinary, helping, people to see and understand things they're never quite previously, discoursin notes of films that change your view of the world. We would hope that some of the challenging theoretical crass included here will provide new understandings of columns.

The concept of definings, for example, force readers to preceive that all cultural texts bave distinct bases, increase, and embodied values, reproducing the point of view of their producers and often the values of the dominant social groups. Earl Mars and Friedrich Engles coined the term "declodes" in the 11840 to describe the dominant sides and representations in a given social covier. On their analysis, during the feedle between the contractions of the contraction of the contractio

untied for infection or the piece gibrining curies in the global consisting.

In the piece of th

Ideologies appear natural, they seem to be common sense, and are thus often invisible and elude criticism. Mars and Engels began a critique of ideology, attempting to show how ruling ideas reproduce dominant societal interests serving to naturalize, idealize, and legitimate the existing society and its institutions and values. In a competitive and atomistic capitalist society, it appears natural to assert that

human beings are primarily self-interested and competitive by nature, just as in a communist society it is natural to assert that people are cooperative by nature. In fact, human beings and societies are extremely complex and contradictory, but ideology smoothes over conflicts and negative features, idealizing human or social traits such as individuality and competition, which are elevated into governing conceptions and values.

For classical Marxism, the ruling classes employ intellectuals and cultural producers who both produce ideas that glorify the dominant institutions and ways of life, and propagate these governing ideas in cultural forms such as literature, the press, or in our day film and television. The concept of ideology thus makes us question the naturalness of cultural texts and to see that prevailing ideas are not self-evident and obvious, but are constructed, biased, and contestable. This notion makes us suspicious and critical, nutting into question regnant ideas which often serve the interests of governing groups. Moreover, the more one studies cultural forms and representations, the more one sees the presence of ideologies that support the interests of the reigning economic, gender, race, or social groups, who are presented positively and idealized, while subordinate groups are often presented negatively and prejudicially. The Italian Marxian thinker Antonio Gramsci developed these ideas further.

arguing that diverse social groups attained "hegemony," or dominance, at different times through inducing the consent of the majority of subaltern, or subordinate, groups to a given socio-political constellation. He points out that while the unity of prevailing groups is usually created through the state (as in the American revolution. or unification of Italy in the nineteenth century), the institutions of "civil society" also play a role in establishing hegemony. Civil society, in this discourse, involves institutions of the church, schooling, the media and forms of popular culture, among others. It mediates between the private sphere of personal economic interests and the family and the public authority of the state, serving as the locus of what Habermas described as "the public sphere."

For Gramsci, societies maintained their stability through a combination of "domination," or force, and "hegemony," defined as consent to "intellectual and moral leadership," Thus, social orders are founded and reproduced with some institutions and groups violently exerting power and domination to maintain social boundaries and rules (i.e., the police, military, vigilante groups, etc.), while other institutions (such as religion, schooling, or the media) induce consent to the dominant order through establishing the begemony, or ideological dominance, of a distinctive type of social order (i.e., market capitalism, fascism, communism, and so on). In addition, societies establish the hegemony of males and certain races through the institutionalizing of male dominance or the rule of a specific race or ethnicity over subordinate groups.

Hegemony theory for Gramsci involves both analysis of current forces of domination and the ways that particular political forces achieved hegemonic authority, and the delineation of counterhegemonic forces, groups, and ideas that could contest and overthrow the existing heremony. An analysis, for instance, of how the conservative regimes of Margaret Thatcher in Britain and Ronald Reagan in the United States in the late 1970s and early 1980s won power would dissect how conservative groups

Douglas M. Kellner and Meenakshi Gigi Durham gained dominance through control of the state, and the use of media, new techno-

logies, and cultural institutions such as think tanks and fund-raising and political action groups. Explaining the Thatcher-Reagan conservative hegemony of the 1980s would require analysis of how conservative ideas became dominant in the media. schools, and culture at large. It would discuss how on a global level the market rather than the state was seen as the source of all wealth and solution to social problems, whereas the state was pictured as a source of excessive taxation, overregulation, and bureaucratic inertia.

A cultural hegemony analysis would thus show how particular media, technology, or institutions contributed to a broader socio-political domination by forces such as fascism, communism, or market capitalism. A Gramscian theory would also discuss how a hegemonic social order is always contested by counterhegemonic forces, such as when during the 1980s conservative rule was contested and in part overthrown during the 1990s with a resurgence of liberalism and social democratic movements and regimes, as well as an upsurge of oppositional social movements. Such analysis, however, would also have to show how the more liberal hegemonic groups compromised with the dominant conservative forces, whereby liberal democrats like Bill Clinton, or social democrats like Tony Blair, would themselves take conservative positions in curbing welfare, cutting social spending, or unleashing military intervention

Hegemony theory thus calls for historically specific socio-cultural analysis of particular contexts and forces, requiring dissection of how culture and a variety of social institutions from the media to the university facilitate broader social and political ends. Analyses of hegemony emphasize that a wide array of cultural institutions function within social reproduction including the church, schools, traditional and elite culture, sports, and the entertainment media. The approach requires social contextualization of all ideas, representations, and cultural forms; it enjoins seeing societies as a locus of social contestation between competing groups who seek dominance and who manipulate reigning institutions and culture to promote their

ends. Theories of hegemony and ideology were further developed by a group of thinkers who were organized around the Frankfurt Institute for Social Research in the 1930s. Their core members were Jewish radicals and so they went into exile to the United States after Hitler's rise to power. Establishing themselves in a small institute in New York affiliated with Columbia University, they developed analyses of the culture industries which had emerged as key institutions of social begemony in the era they called state-monopoly capitalism. Max Horkheimer, Theodor W. Adorno, Herbert Marcuse, and Walter Benjamin, who was loosely affiliated with the Institute for Social Research, analyzed the new forms of corporate and state power during a time in which giant corporations ruled the capitalist economies and the might of the state grew significantly under the guise of fascism. Russian communism, and the state capitalism of Roosevelt's New Deal which required a sustained government response to the crisis of the economic Depression in the 1930s. In this conjuncture, ideology played an increasingly important role in inducing consent to a diversity of social systems

To a large extent, the Frankfurf School inaugurated critical studies of mass communication and culture, showing how the media were controlled by groups who employed them to further their own interests and domination. They were the first conditions to the importance of what they called the "chause includings" in continuous communications studies in the center of feiture activity, are important against of conditionation of policial reality, and should thus be seen a primary institutions of contemporary societies with a variety of economic, political, cultural, and social efficies.

Having experienced the rise of fascism and fascist use of the media in Germany in the 1930s, they noted during their exile in the United States how the culture industry was controlled by predominant capitalist economic interests and functioned to reproduce the established market society and democratic polity. The Frankfurt School developed a critical and transdisciplinary approach to cultural and communications studies, combining critique of political economy of the media, analysis of texts, and audience reception studies of the social and ideological effects of mass culture and communications. They coined the term "culture industries" to signify the process of the industrialization of mass-produced culture and the commercial imperatives which drove the system. The critical theorists analyzed all mass-mediated cultural artifacts within the context of industrial production, in which the commodities of the culture industries exhibited the same features as other products of mass production: commodification, standardization, and massification. The culture industries had the singular function, however, of providing ideological legitimation of the existing capitalist societies and of integrating individuals into the framework of the capitalist system

Furthermore, the critical theorists investigated the cultural industries in a political context as a form of the integration of the working dass into capabilist societies. The Frankfurt School were one of the first neo-Marsian groups to examine the effects of mass culture and the rice of the consumer society on the weeking dasses who were to be vehicles of revolution in the classical Marsian research. They also analyzed the ways in which the culture industries were subdising contemporary capatilasm and accordingly sought new strategies for political change, agencies of social transformation, and for robbical stransformation, and the contraction of the

Thus, in their theories of the culture industries and critiques of must culture, the Frankfurt School were the first to systematically analyze and criticine mass mediated culture and communications within critical social theory. Their approach suggests that to peoperly understand any specific from frends or culture, one must understand how it is produced and distributed in a given society and how it is instanced in relation to the deminant social arterutor. The Frankfurt School hought, for the most part, that media culture simply reproduced the existing society and manipulated mass audiences into dedennee.

One of their members, however, Walter Benjamin, had a more optimistic and activist view of the potential of media, such as film, to promote progressive political ends than his colleagues Horkheimer and Adorno. In "The Work of Art in the Age of

Mechanical Reproduction," Tenjamin argued that film, sports, and other forms of mass entertainment were certaing as new slind of specture, able to entitudy dissect cultural forms and to reader intelligent judgment on them. For Benjamin, the decline cultural forms and to reader intelligent judgment on them. For Benjamin, the decline under the pressures of mechanical reproduction helpedy produce a public able to more actively engage a wide range of cultural phenomena. He argued that, for instance, the spectation of sports creams were discinnisming judges of athletic activity, able to criticize and analysis games, without special productions of sports of the control of the co

Becipinin saw that polities were being senhericated in the contemporary ex, deploying technique of mystilication and cultural anniquidation to produce media spectacles to gain mass assent to specific political candidates and groups. He was one of the first to disacte the new public spheres that were emerging in the period when the facisit party and state used organs of public communication such as film, radio, or political radio typomore their ends. Moreove, Renjurian's work is also important for focusing on the technology of cultural reproduction, seeing the changes in new media rechniques, and currings with act diagn for democratic rates.

A second-generation member of the Frankfurt School, Jürgen Habermas, grew up under German fascism, found it repellent, and undertook life-long investigations of contemporary society and culture in part motivated by desire to prevent the recurrence of a rehirth of fascism. After studying with Horkheimer and Adorno in Frankfurt in the 1950s, Habermas investigated in his early work the ways that a new public sphere emerged during the time of the Enlightenment and the American and French revolutions, and how it promoted political discussion and debate. Habermas's concept of the public sphere described a space of institutions and practices between private and public interests. The public sphere mediated for Habermas between the domains of the family and the workplace - where private interests prevail - and the state which often exerts arbitrary forms of power and domination. What Habermas called the "bourgeois public sphere" consisted of the realm of public assemblies, pubs and coffee houses, literary salons, and meeting balls where citizens eathered to discuss their common public affairs and to organize against arbitrary and oppressive forms of social and public authority. The public sphere was nurtured by newspapers, journals, pamphlets, and books which were read and discussed in social sites such as pubs and coffee houses.

Habernas ones that newspapers were initially commercial theres that discensinate "news" (i.e., what was need and contemporary), but then were transformed into instruments of political debate under the pressures of the American and French revolutions and the organization of political groups to revolutionize suciety. The enespapers also fell prey to commercial imperatives and often put profit and business interest above political options, setting afterning and popers in abbid sensition interests above political options, feeling afterning and popers in abbid sensition interests above political options, feeling afterning and popers in a table of the content on size institutions such an energyper, radio, film, and

television. These arms of the culture industry served the interests of the media conglomerates and the corporations and advertisers who financed them. Thus, in this conjuncture, the public sphere was colonized by big media which came to dominate public life and which recast the public sphere from a locus of information and debate to a site of manipulation by corporate powers.

In retropect, the theories discussed so far articulate according stages of modern further modern. While Hichems's theory of the public sphee calcords the early place of Herd bourgois society, Marr and Tagels analyse the consolidation of the can raid or the burgoise and legement of optimizath rating the and instructual large in the 1930, whereas the work of Hordshirmer and Adorson can be read as an articulation of a theory of the state and monoglo questions which the learn dominant throughout the world shuring the 1930. The era constituted a form of "organized and in which individuals submitted to state and concepted and in which individuals submitted to state and corporate control."

The period is often described as "Foodium" in designate the system of mass production and the homogenizing regime of capital which sought to produce mass desires, tastes, and behavior. The culture industries discussed by Hothleimer and Adorno were that the form of cultural organization parallel to Foodium as a mode of industrial production. Just as American automobiles were produced on assembly lines according to a well organized plant and division of bulbor, to one were fills, readcasting, magazines, and assorted forms of media culture generated according to yes and with a well organized division of bulbor.

The decades following World Wur II were thus a period of mass production and communition characterized by uniformity and homogeneity of needs, thought, and behavior constituting a "mass society" and what the Funkfurt School described a "the end for the individual." No longer was personal thought and action the mone of social and outbraif progress, intends, gipantic organizations and institutions everyowered individuals. The period corresponds to the staid, access, conformats, and conservative world of corporate capitalism that was dominate in the 1950s with its organization tens, in complicators communities, and its mass and the 1950s with its organization tens, in complicators communities, and its mass than

During this period, mass culture and communication were essential in generating the mode of thought and behavior appropriate to a highly organized and home gunized social order. Thus, the Frankfurt School theory of "the culture industries" arriculates a vital historical shift on a reposite on twich mass communifora and culture were indispensable to producing a consumer society based on uniform needs and decires for mass produced products and a mass society based on social organization and conformity, it is culturally the time in the United States of strongly controlled network radio and decision, inspill "on perior" per punsit, goings followed films, national magazines, singly conservative mesupers, and could be an appropriate where state controlled broakcating presended, was a system of broakcating intended to reproduce the dominant culture or state ideology, thus serving as an instrument of social integration and conformity.

Of course, media culture was never as massified and homogeneous as in the Frankfurt School mode, and one could appet that the analysis was flawed even during in time of origin and influence and that other models were preferable (such as those of Wilter Benjamis, Sighfeid Karsauer, Frant Bloch and others of the Weinurg generation and, Liter, British cultural studies, as we suggest below). Ye the original Frankfurt School theory of the culture mutuary articulated the important social frankfurt school theory of the culture mutuary articulated the important social model, still of use, of an executingly commercial and technologically advanced culture that promotes the needs of dominant corporate interests, play a principar tole in defological reproduction, and enculturates the populace into the dominant system of needs, thought, and behavior.

Following the lines of this narraires, we will argue throughout this introduction that the subsequent forms of cultural and media analysis regoon of ode-edopments within Western capitalities societies from the end of Wedd War II until the present. Cultural theories analysis historian flatematophous and movelies, and thus arriculate sociol-interned carabitions, practices, and transformations. Theories proude maps of the control of the con

Social Life and Cultural Studies

But certainly for the present age, which prefers the sign to the thing signified, the copy to the original, fancy to reality, the appearance to the essence,...illusion only is sacred, truth profate. Nay, sacredness is held to be enhanced in proportion at ruth decrease and illusion increases, so that the highest degree of illusion comes to be the highest degree of succeedings.

(Ludwig Feuerbach)

Column is produced and consumed within social file. Thus, particular cultural artifects and practices must be ainstant within the social relations of production and reception in which culture is produced, distributed, and consumed in order to be properly understood and interpreted. Construssitating cultural forms and audiences in historically specific situations helps illuminate how cultural artifacts reflect or reproduce concrete iscularations helps illuminate how cultural artifacts reflect or reproduce concrete iscularations helps illuminate how cultural artifacts reflect or product concepts for sixtual resulture and media which indicative social and intensiprovide concepts for sixtuating culture and needs within distribution to emergence of theories of media and culture within determinate wook bursted in curturations and are then snapsing in social concursualization ourselves. After World Wu II, the consumer society energed throughout the Western world. Whereas the primary U.S. competations were developing systems of man production between the primary U.S. competation was producted to the production of the control of the production of the production of the control of the production of the consumer society. The Frankfurt School, bring in ceils in the United States, were among the first to theories the new configuration of acciety and earliers their critique of the first to theories the new configuration of acciety and earliers their critique of the first to theories the new configuration of acciety and earliers their critique of and personality structures being developed. By the 195th, theories throughout the more crowled capitation countries were producing theories of communition, the media, and the changed conditions of everyday life to respond to the changes and transformation in the energist customate and media society.

and the company of th

Bajid modernization in France after World Wor II and the introduction of the communer society in the 1950 provoked mush debate and combinated to construcing a variety of discourses on the media and communer society in France, impring Rodard Barthes, Houri Leithere, Gun Potton, Jean Bandidland, and their contemporation to develop novel analyses of the emerging forms of society and culture. It was clear that the comment society was multiplying images, spectices, and new culture and the comment of the production of the production of the period attempts on the comment of the production of the production of the period attempts on the comment of the production of the period attempts on the comment of the period attempts on the comment of the production of the period attempts on the production of the period attempts on the production of the production of the period attempts on the production of the period attempts of the period attempts on the production of the period attempts of the period attempts on the period attempts of the period attempts on the period attempts of the period attempts on the period attempts of the period attem

Roland Burthes applied the new theories of structuralism and semiology to make sense of the expansion of media culture and its important social functions. Structuraalism was developed in the 1980 by the French anthropologist Claude Li-S Strauss to structure of culture and occity. Semiology, created earlier in the century by the Swiss linguist Ferdinand de Sussuer, analyzed the fundamental rules, codes, and precise of language usage. In the hands of Burthes, semiology assumed that society and culture were texts that could be analyzed for their structures, againfacen, and offers.

Barthes' Methodagine employed both methods to analyze the codes and meanings methodded in artifacts of popular culture ranging from westling to soap ads, while dissecting their social functions. The "mythologies" Barthes studied functioned to naturalize and termalize the historically contingent forms of French bourgeois culture that he analyzed. In his famous reading of a picture of a Black African soldier salting the French filg, for example, Barthes claimed that the image erased the

Douglas M. Kellner and Meenakshi Gigi Durham horrors of French imperialism, presenting a sanitized portrait of a French soldier that made it appear natural that an African should salute the French flag and exhibit the

proper signs of military behavior and French national allegiance. French theorist Louis Althusser applied the structuralist and semiological theories

to the study of culture and ideology in his writings of the 1970s. Reginning with Marx's thesis that the mode of production determines the character of social, intellectual, and cultural life. Althusser saw ideology as an effect of the structure of society. a force in which economic, political legal, and ideological practices were interrelated to shane social consciousness. In Althusser's version of "structural Marxism," "ideological state apparatuses" (schooling, media, the judiciary, etc.) "interpellated" individuals into preconceived forms of subjectivity that left no space for opposition or resistance. On this account, subjects were constructed as self-centered individuals. men or women, members of a specific class, and were induced to identify with the roles, behavior, values, and practices of the existing state capitalist society. Combining Marxism and structuralism. Althusser thus analyzed how individuals were incorporated into specific social systems and functioned to reproduce contemporary capitalist societies. A very different historical and cultural approach to the study of media and culture

was developed in North America in the 1950s and 1960s by Marshall McLuhan. In his distinguished and influential work Understanding Media, McLuhan described a paradigm shift from earlier print culture to the new media culture. Whereas print culture. McLuhan argued, produced rational, literate, and individualist subjects, who followed the linear and logical form of print media in thought and reasoning, the new media culture produced more fragmentary, non-rational, and aestheticized subjects, immersed in the sights, sounds, and spectacles of media such as film, radio, television, and advertising. The new media culture was, McLuhan argued, "tribal," sharing collective ideas and behavior. It was generating a new global culture and consciousness that he believed would overcome the individualism and nationalism of the previous modern era.

McLuhan aroused a generation to take seriously media as an active agent of fundamental historical change and media culture as an important terrain of study. In his groundbreaking work Society of the Spectacle, Guy Debord described the proliferation of commodities and the "immense accumulation of spectacles" that characterized the new consumer society. Grocery, drug, and department stores were exhibiting a dazzling profusion of commodities and things to purchase which in turn were celebrated in advertising campaigns that inscribed the new consumer items with an aura of magic and divinity. The media themselves are spectacles in Debord's sense. with MTV, for example, broadcasting a collage of dazzling music videos, ads, and sequences that attempt to capture the dynamics and attractions of contemporary youth culture. Films provide larger than life spectacle replete with special effects. snappy editing, and intense sound.

Hence, the "society of the spectacle" refers to a media and consumer society, organized around the consumption of images, commodities, and spectacles. In our day, malls, the cyberspectacle of the Internet, and emerging virtual reality devices proliferate the realm of the spectacle, providing new relevance to Debord's analysis. Moreover, the "society of the spectach" also refers to the vast institutional and technical apparatus of contemporary societies which produce commodities and media events. The concept encompasses all the means and methods ruling power employ, outside of direct from; which subject institution and substruliar which the contemporary of the spectrular society. Schooling, for example, twolves aports, futerenity and sorotivy trushs, bands and parades, and serious public assemblies that indiscrements individuals into dominant individuals and parades, and serious public assemblies that discrements and the contemporary politicis is also assurated with spectacles, ranging from daily "photon opportunities," to

In the post World War II conjuncture, the specials became plobalized as coppertions such as Coock dash Papis, undry national aumonduct corporations, IBM and the assent computer industry, and subsequently McDonald's Nike, Microsoft, and a commonly of global products circulated throughout the world. And Dorfman and Armand Matteriat record the response of Thial World activities to the saturation of their Laim American culture with products from the Will Disary corporation, in their controversal Hiera to Read Donald Donal by product critical as come books. The athorn explain that these popular comics controls a world to fininges and stories that naturalized capitalism and imperialism, much like the "mythologics" which Brattes criticated in Testing.

Critical approaches to society and culture were thus proliferating throughout the world by the 1960s. All of the theories we have discussed so far can be seen as providing models of media and cultural studies, but the school of cultural studies that has become a global phenomenon of great importance over the last decade was inaugurated by the University of Birmingham Centre for Contemporary Cultural Studies in 1964. Under its director Richard Hoggart, and his successor Stuart Hall who directed the Centre from 1968 to 1979, the Birmingham groups developed a variety of critical perspectives for the analysis, interpretation, and criticism of cultural artifacts, combining sociological theory and contextualization with literary analysis of cultural texts. The now classical period of British cultural studies from the early 1960s to the early 1980s adopted a Marxian approach to the study of culture, one especially influenced by Althusser and Gramsci. Through a set of internal debates, and responding to social conflicts and movements of the 1960s and the 1970s, the Birmingham group came to concentrate on the interplay of representations and ideologies of class. gender, race, ethnicity, and nationality in cultural texts, especially concentrating on media culture. They were among the first to study the effects of newspapers, radio, television, film, and other popular cultural forms on audiences. They also explored how assorted audiences interpreted and deployed media culture in varied ways and contexts, analyzing the factors that made audiences respond in contrasting manners to media artifacts.

From the beginning, British cultural studies systematically rejected high/low culture distinctions and took media culture seriously, thus surpassing the elitism of dominant literary approaches to culture. Likewise, British cultural studies overcame the limitations of the Frankfurt School notion of a passive and manipulated audience in their conceptions of an active audience that creates meanings and the popular. Reproducing the activism of oppositional groups in the 1960s and 1970s, the Birmingham School was engaged in a project aimed at a comprehensive criticism of the present configuration of culture and society, attempting to link theory and practice to orient cultural studies toward fundamental social transformation. British cultural studies situated culture within a theory of social production and reproduction, specifying the ways that cultural forms served either to further social control, or to enable people to resist. It analyzed society as a hierarchical and antagonistic set of social relations characterized by the oppression of subordinate class, gender, race, ethnic, and national strata. Employing Gramsci's model of hegemony and counterhegemony. British cultural studies sought to analyze "hegemonic." or ruling, social and cultural forces of domination and to seek "counterhegemonic" forces of resistance and contestation

Britis cultural tradies aimed at a political goal of social transformation in which location of forces of domination and resistance would aid the process of political change. From the beginning, the Britiningham group was oriented toward the crucial political problems of their age and miles. Their early spellight in oils and listolegic political problems of their age and miles. Their early spellight in oils and listolegic society and the movements of the 1900 against class inequality and oppression. The work of the late 1950s and any 1900s Williams/Hoggart/1814 stage of cultural studies emphasized the potential of working class cultures, and then in the 1900s and 1970 to legan approaching the potential of working class cultures, and then in the 1900s and 1970 to legan approaching the potential of working class cultures, and then in the 1900s and 1970 to legan approaching the potential of working the potential of the position of the 1900s and 1900s and

Column Insulies came to center attention on how subcultural groups resis dominant from of culture and ledentity, rectured here or way see and domines. Individuals who conform to begamnise disease, rectured here on ways the administrate groups, as an embers of particular social groupings (such as white, middle-class conservative Americans). Individuals wook definity with subcultures, such as punction to hip hop, look and and effectively from those in the mainstream, and thus create oppositional identities, defining themselves against standard moral examination.

In the 1970s and 1980s, British cultural studies continued to develop and successizely appropriated emerging analyses of gender, race, sexuality, and a wide range of critical theories. They created ways to examine and critique how the established society and culture promoted sexism, racism, homophobia, and additional forms of oppression — or helped to generate resistance and struggle against domination and injustice. This approach implicitly contained political critique of all cultural forms that promoted oppression, while positively affirming texts and representations that produced a potentially more just and egalitarian social order.

Developments within British cultural studies have thus been in part responses to contestation by a multiplicity of distinct groups which have produced new methods and voices within cultural studies (such as a variety of new feminisms, gay and lesbian studies, many multiculturalisms, critical pedagogies, and projects of critical media literacy). Hence, the center and fulcrum of British cultural studies at any given moment was determined by the struggles in the sociohistorical conjuncture at that time and their major work was thus conceived as political interventions. Their studies of ideology and the politics of culture directed the Birmingham group toward analyzing cultural artifacts, practices, and institutions within existing networks of power. In this context, they attempted to show how culture both provided tools and forces of domination and resources for resistance and opposition. This political optic valorized studying the effects of culture and audience use of cultural artifacts. which provided an extremely productive focus on audiences and reception, topics that had been neglected in most previous text-based methods. Yet recent developments in the field of cultural studies have arguably vitiated and depoliticized the enterprise, as we shall note in the conclusion to the Introduction.

British cultural studies, then, in retrospect, emerges in a later era of capital following the stage of state and monopoly capitalism analyzed by the Frankfurt School, into a more variegated and conflicted cultural formation. The forms of culture described by the earliest phase of British cultural studies in the 1950s and early 1960s articulated conditions in an era in which there were still significant tensions in Britain and much of Europe between an older working-class-based culture and the newer massproduced culture whose models and exemplars were the products of American culture industries. The initial stage of cultural studies developed by Richard Hoggart, Raymond Williams, and E. P. Thompson attempted to preserve working-class culture against the onslaughts of mass culture produced by the culture industries. Thompson's historical inquiries into the history of British working-class institutions and struggles, the defenses of working-class culture by Hoggart and Williams, and their attacks on mass culture were part of a socialist and working-class-oriented project which assumed that the industrial working class was an agent of progressive social change and that it could be mobilized and organized to resist the inequalities of the existing capitalist societies and work for a more egalitarian one. Williams and Hoggart were deeply involved in schemes of working-class education and oriented toward socialist working-class politics, seeing their form of cultural studies as an instrument of progressive social change.

The initial critiques in the first wave of British cultural studies of Americanism and mass entire in Hoggart, Williams, and the Brimitighum School parallel to some execute the earlier critique of the Frankist School, yet celebrated a working class that the Frankist School saw as defeated in Germany and much of Europe during the period of Esserias, and which they never saw as a strong recourse for ameniparus social change. The early work of the Briminghum School was continuous with the radicalism of the first wave of British clutaral studies (the Hoggart-Thompon).

-Williams "culture and society" tradition). The post-1980s work inspired by British cultural studies became global in impact and responded to the new cultural and political conditions described in postmodern theory which we discuss below.

As we shall see, many forms of the study of culture and media preceded and accompanied the development of British cultural studies and developments we will observe examples of North American cultural studies and developments with the field throughout the world. We will ado precent a range of perspectives, with the field throughout the world. We will ado precent a range of perspectives, that we have so fir examined. Next, however, we will introduce an approach to media and culture which focuse on the system and particules of production and distribution. This "political exonomy" perspective is sometimes taken as attributed to cultural studies, and representatives of each position often strate, each other, claiming their approach is supprise. We have expected to the product of the production of the strate device of the production of the strate device of a specific strategies.

Political Economy

The anatomy of civil society is to be sought in political economy.

(Karl Marx)

A political economy approach to media and culture centers more on the production and distribution of culture than on interpreting texts or studying audiences. The references to the terms "political" and "economy" call attention to the fact that the production and distribution of culture takes place within a specific economic and political system, constituted by relations between the state, the economy, social institutions and practices, culture, and organizations such as the media. Political economy thus encompasses economics and politics, and the relations between them and the other central structures of society and culture. With regard to media institutions, for instance, in Western democracies, a capitalist economy dictates that cultural production is governed by laws of the market, but the democratic imperatives mean that there is some regulation of culture by the state. There are often tensions within a given society concerning which activities should be governed by the imperatives of the market, or economics, alone and how much state regulation or intervention is desirable to assure a wider diversity of broadcast programming, or the prohibition of phenomena agreed to be harmful (such as cigarette advertising or pornography).

Political economy highlights that capitalist societies are organized according to a dominant mode of production that structures institutions and practices according to the logic of commodification and capital accumulation. Cultural production and adistribution is accordingly profit and market-oriented in such a system. Forces of production (such as media technologies and creative practice) are shaped according to dominant relations of production (such as the profit integrative, the maintenance of

hierarchical control, and relations of domination). Hence, the system of production (e.g., market or state-oriented) is important, as suggested below, in determining what sort of cultural artifacts are produced and how they are consumed. Thus, "political economy" does not merely pertain solely to economics, but to the relations between the economic, political, technological, and cultural dimensions of social reality. The structure of political economy links culture to its political and economic context and opens up cultural studies to history and politics. It refers to a field of contestation and antagonism and not an inert structure as caricatured by some of its opponents.

Political economy should also discern and analyze the role of technology in cultural production and distribution, seeing, as with McLuhan, how technology and forms of media structure economic, social, and cultural practices and forms of life. In our era, the proliferation of new technologies and multimedia - ranging from computers to CD-ROMs to new types of digitized film - call attention to the key role of technology in the economy and everyday life and make clear that technological and economic factors are often deeply interconnected. In a time of technological revolution, the role of technology is especially important and so political economy must engage the dominant forms of technology in its analysis.

In the present stage of capitalist hegemony, political economy grounds its approach within empirical analysis of the actual system of cultural production, investigating the constraints and structuring influence of the dominant capitalist economic system and a commercialized cultural system controlled by powerful corporations. Inserting texts into the system of culture within which they are produced and distributed can help elucidate features and effects of the texts that textual analysis alone might miss or downplay. Rather than their being antithetical approaches to culture, political economy can contribute to textual analysis and critique. The system of production often determines what type of artifacts will be produced, what structural limits there will be as to what can and cannot be said and shown, and what kind of audience effects cultural artifacts may generate.

Study of the codes of television, film, or popular music, for instance, is enhanced by examining the formulas and conventions of media culture production. These cultural forms are structured by well-defined rules and conventions, and investigation of the production of culture can help elucidate the codes actually in play. Because of the demands of the format of radio or music television, for instance, most popular songs are three to five minutes, fitting into the structure of the distribution system. Because of their control by giant media corporations oriented primarily toward profit, film and television production in America is dominated by specific genres such as talk and game shows, soap operas, situation comedies, action/adventure shows, and so on. This economic factor explains why there are cycles of certain genres and subgenres, sequelmania in the film industry, crossovers of popular films into television series. Seeing how competition for audiences decides what shows are produced also helps explain why there is homogeneity in products constituted within systems of production with established generic codes, formulaic conventions, and well-defined ideological boundaries.

Furthermore, one cannot really discern the role of the media in events such as the Gulf War without analyzing the production and political economy of news and

Douglas M. Kellner and Meenakshi Gigi Durham information, as well as the actual text of the Gulf War and its reception by its audience. Or, one cannot fully grasp the Madonna phenomenon without discussing

her marketing strategies, her political environment, her cultural artifacts, and their effects. Likewise, in appraising the full social impact of pornography, one needs to be aware of the sex industry and the production process of, say, pornographic films, and not just the texts themselves and their effects on audiences. In addition, study of political economy can help ascertain the limits and range of

political and ideological discourses and effects. Study of television and politics in the United States, for instance, suggests that takeover of the television networks by leading transpational corporations and communications conglomerates was part of a "right turn" within American society in the 1980s, whereby powerful corporate groups won control of the state and the mainstream media. For example, during the 1980s all three networks were taken over by leading corporate conglomerates: ABC was nurchased by Capital Cities. NRC merged with GE, and CRS was hought by the Tisch Financial Group. Both ABC and NBC sought corporate mergers and this motivation, together with other benefits derived from Reaganism, might well have influenced them to downplay criticisms of Reagan and to generally support his conservative programs, military adventures, and simulated presidency.

In the current conjuncture which is exhibiting a crossing of boundaries and synergy between information and entertainment industries, there have been significant mergers between the immense corporations. Previous forms of entertainment are rapidly being absorbed within the Internet, and the computer is coming to be a major household appliance and source of entertainment, information, play, communication, and connection with the outside world. As clues to the immensity of the transformation going on, and as indicators of the syntheses of information and entertainment in the emerging infotainment society, one might reflect on the massive mergers of the primary information and entertainment conglomerates that have taken place in the United States during the past few years which have seen the most extensive concentration and conglomeration of information and entertainment industries in history, including

Time Warner and Turner \$7.5 billion Disney/Capital Cities/ABC \$19 billion NBC and Microsoft \$20 billion Viacom and CBS \$37 billion

Dwarfing all previous information/entertainment corporation mergers, Time Warner and America On-Line (AOL) proposed a \$163.4 billion merger in January 2000. These fusions bring together corporations involved in TV, film, magazines, newspapers, books, information data bases, computers, and other media, suggesting a coming implosion of media and computer culture, of entertainment and information in a new communications/infotainment society. The merger mania is now global in scale, pointing to an ever-more intricately connected global economy. In 1999, the US company MCI negotiated a \$37 billion amalgamation with WorldCom, which topped British Telecommunications and GTE offers, and then bought Sprint for \$115 billion; in a \$72 billion merger of two regional telephone companies, \$8C communications far, and Americach formed the larges field operating company; the German telecommunications firm Mannensama bought Orange for \$33 billion, and shortly thereafter Volkinde Arizonsch, the world's Iseling mobile-plones company, amounteed a boratile bid for Mannensama, institutify for a record \$117 billion between the renger was select in February 2000 (earlier in the year Volkidese absorbed Antonial Communications for February 2000 (earlier in the year Volkidese absorbed Antonial Communications for Selo billion). In addition, redecommunications companies have been brought good between the companies of the Selo billion. In addition, redecommunications companies have been brought good between the selong t

The copporte media, communications, and information industries are frantically interested in the communication of the communication desires, and computer size of the communication desices, and computeriorist video, film, and information of the communication desices, and computeriorist video, film, and information of the communication desires, and computer video, film, and information of the communication industries and the communication industries of the political cocommunication industries and the communication industries are considered in the communication industries and the communication industries are considered in the communication industries and the communication industries are considered in the communication industries and the communication industries are considered in the communication industries and the communication industries are considered in the communication industries are considered in the communication industries and the communication industries are considered in the communication industries and the communication industries are considered in the communication industries and the communication industries are considered in the communication in the communi

Some pointed economy analyses reduce the inequality and entered or text to return crumscribed and reductive isloslogical functions, againg that media culture meetic and in reductive isloslogical functions, againg that media culture meetic and is nothing more than a swhick for the dominant isloslogy, It is true that media culture cover-helinology supporce ogainst values, but it is also a site of intense conflict between different races, classes, gender, and social groups. Thus, in order to fully graph the nature and effection of media culture, one should see contemporary society and culture as contented terrains, and media and cultural forms as spaces in which particular buttles over gorder, one, escaulist, policital sideology, and wholes are which particular buttles over gorder, one, escausility, policital sideology, and wholes are should be considered to the content of the content o

Indeed, as Susan Willis argues, political economy also involves domestic activities with as cleaning, fully earting, theoping, and additional forms of communityons. She contends that activities of packaging, marketing, and slipsly are important dimensions of the capitalst economy and that therefore study of consumption is as sigministration of the capital accommentating publical economy. Moreover, Villa combines as the commentation of the commentation of

In addition, as Feas Martin Barberó's work suggests, the political dimension of political economy involves start, nation, and people, as well as analysis of the cornorm, Martin-Barbero analyzes the way that popular classes produce their cultures out of a hybridized appropriation of local arteriational forms combined with new types of global culture. Local cultures are subjected to potentially homogenizing and destructive global freece, but groups are discidificable and careen ew hybridized cultures of their own and resist corporate domination and homogenization. Discusting the mediations involved in the construction of rational cultures, Martin-Barbero,

relates cultural forms to social movements such as populism whereby masses of people entered the political process for the first time through participation in a national culture. This development eventually helped produce new hybrid cultures as the global economy distributed transnational cultural forms and local cultures appropriated and mixed these and more traditional popular forms in complex ways.

Consequently, political economy today necessarily involves discussion of a new global capitalist world economy in an era marked by the fall of communism in 1989, technological revolution, and emergence of a "new economy" based on computer and communication networks. The term "globalization" is often deployed as a cover concept for the new world economy, but as Herbert Schiller argues, its continuities with the old world-system of market capitalism should not be ignored. In fact, globalization is a contested term with some identifying it with new forms of imperialism (and seeing it as predominantly negative), while others equate it with modernization and the proliferation of novel products, cultural forms, and identities. In fact, it is best to see it as an extremely complex and ambiguous phenomenon that contains both exciting and progressive forms such as the Internet, innovative terrains of cyberculture, and emergent economic and political actors and groups in the world economy - combined with the growing strength of transnational institutions, intensified competition on a global level, heightened exploitation, corporate downsizing, and greater levels of unemployment, economic inequality, and insecurity.

Globalization is connected with scientific, technological, and economic revolution which involves the advent of emergent forms of labor, politics, culture, and everyday life. The new global economy contains economic opportunities, openings for political transformation, and a wealth of innovative products and technologies which might improve the human condition. Yet it also threatens to increase divisions between the "haves" and the "have nots," deplete diminishing resources, undermine union and labor rights, and circulate new forms of war and terrorism. Hence, globalization is highly ambiguous, with both promising and threatening features.

Indeed, globalization is both creating new modes of cultural homogenization and proliferating cultural differences and hybridities. It is advancing the interests of major American and other transnational corporations, as well as providing openings for new economic forms and players. Global processes are producing emergent transnational institutions and forces, while challenging the state to strengthen its authority and regulatory powers. And as globalization comes ever more to the fore, the importance of the local is highlighted and dramatized.

The conception of political economy that we are thus proposing goes beyond traditional, sometimes excessively economistic approaches that focus on more strictly economic issues such as ownership, gate-keeping, and the production and distribution of culture. Instead, we are suggesting that it involves relations between economy and polity, culture and people, as well as the interconnection between production and consumption, distribution and use. Although some forms of political economy are reductive, focusing solely on the economic dimension, we believe that far richer notions of political economy are possible.

In addition, we would argue that both political economy and more sociologically and culturally oriented approaches to the study of media culture should be combined. For some decades now, however, advocates of media and cultural studies based in textual or auditors, analysis have been at aw with those who advocate a political conomy optic. The hondility between political economy and cultural studies, in our view, reproduces a great divider within the field of communication and cultural studies between two competing approaches with different methodologies, objects of study, and, by now, bodies of text that represent the oppoints glocked. This citations as their object against a humanities and text-based view that focuses on culture.

A largely rextual approach centers on the analysis and criticism of rexts as cultural arrifacts, employing methods primarily deverded from the humanists. The methods of political economy and empirical communications research, by contrast, utilize more social science based research strategies, ranging from straight quantitative analysis of more qualitative empirical studies of specific cases or topics, structural analysis of more dia instrutions, or habitical research. Topic in this area traductal analysis of overable patterns within the political economy of the media, empirical studies of instructions are consumed to the contrast of the media, empirical vaules of institutions in the economic politics, or everview life.

Thus, the seemingly never ending hostility between political economy and cultural studies replicates a bifurcation within the fields of communications and cultural studies replicates a bifurcation within the fields of communications and cultural between competing paradigms. In our view, the dished is an artificial one, protect in an artitary acclaimed distinct of ideas. These confidencing approaches point to a result of the control of th

Moreover, in the present configuration of the emergence of a new global economy, a critical colorar and media studies needs to gray the global, antional, and local systems of media production and distribution. In the 1900s, critics of the global capitatis system described the deministration of the word cosmoly by transacinspersion," whereas its supporters celebrated "modernization." Today, the trem "globalization" is the standard term used to describe the legenomic global conounand culture. One of the features of globalization is the proliferation of new voices and perspectives on cultura and society and the politication and constraints of ferror culture previously taken for granted. In a global culture, the proliferation of differbecomes intensely politicated and context, as we see in the next section.

The Politics of Representation

Representation in the mediated "reality" of our mass culture is in itself Power.

(Larry Gross)

Whereas political connony approaches to the media and culture devire from a social sciences radiation, analysis of the politics of representation in media texts devires from a humanistic-based textual approach. Earlier, mass communication approaches to media content rangel from descriptive content analysis to quantitative analysis of references, figures, or images in media texts. The more sophisticated methods of textual analysis, however, emerged from more advanced understandings of texts, a textual analysis, however, emerged from more advanced understandings of texts, a late of the second of the natural textual analysis, because of the second of the

The visa that all valued representations are political is one of the major themse of media and cultural theory of the last few decades. In the 1960s, feminal, Africa-American, Latino, gay and belshia, and disparate oppositional movements stracked the streetopyses and based images of their groups. These critiques of resizon, resimo, homoghobia, and other bases made it clear that images and representations are never innecent or pure, that they contain positives, negative, or arbitiguous depictions of decree local groups, that they can never persisions interests of cultural oppression by positioning certain groups as inferior, that positings to the superivity of dominate for instance, would catalogue negative potrayla and show how they produce sexim or ration, or would champion more produce ones.

Early interventions in the politics of representation concentrated primarily on "images of" particular social groups, decrying negative images and affirming more constructive ones. The limitations of such approaches were quickly apparent and already by the 1970s more sophisticated analyses began emerging of how texts position audiences, of how narratives, scenes, and images produce biased representations. There emerged, then, more sophisticated understanding of how textual mechanisms help construct social meanings and representations of specific social groups. Exclusions of groups such as Latinos, as well as negative stereotypes, were thus emphasized, as were the ways framing, editing, subtexts, and the construction of pictorial images produced culturally loaded and biased representations of subordinate groups. The narratives of media culture were scrutinized to discern how certain (usually socially dominant) forces were represented more affirmatively than subordinate groups, and there was a search for parratives and representations that more positively rendered social types that had been excluded or negatively presented in mainstream culture (i.e., various ethnic groups, gavs and lesbians, or members of the deaf community)

The turn toward audiences in the 1980s, as we have noted, also created more complex notions of the construction of meaning by stressing how audiences could perform oppositional readings, reacting negatively to what they perceived as preju-

died representations of their own social groups, thus showing themselves to be active creators of meaning, and not just passive victims of manipulation. Redning culture was thus seen as a political event, in which one looked for negative or positive prepresentations, learned how narratives were constructed, and discerned how image and selectory functioned with media and culture to reproduce either social domination. The debates over the politics of representation and how best to analyze and

The debates over the politics of representations and how best to analyze and contacts offensive images of inheritants group provided a vestable of imagine transtonicate offensive images of inheritants of the properties of the properties of representation, as a producer of meaning that provided negative and positive depictions of gender, days, race, sexually, religion, and further key constituents of slowing. The media were thus seen as potent creators of role models, gender identity, norms, which were the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the protact and creative, and appropriate and inappropriate behavior, politioning anderies to behave active and creative, able to construct meanings and identities out of the materials of their culture.

Culture and identity were then regarded as constructed, as artificial, multically, multically multi

In addition, the growing emphasis on the active not of underects from the 1980 to the present suggested that people could creatively construct cultural menings, context cominant forms, and craze alternative readings and interpretations. Audi-eness could be empowered to reject projustical or sucreoyabor propresentations of specific groups and individuals, and could affirm positive ones. The politics of representation that Stouced no hole forcoding and decoding, texts and audience, and called for more critical and discriminating responses to the products of media production.

Thus, cultural representations were perceived to be subject to political critique, and culture incid was concried as a contendent errain. Finit, relicionis, music, and assorted cultural forms were interpreted as an areas of struggle in which representations transacted the discourses of conflicting social movements. Reginning in the 1900s, alternative representations of gender, race, class, the family, the state, the corporation, and additional dominant forces and institutions began appraing in a suntained fashion. More complex and engaging representations of women, for intents, ransocial the critiques of negative recorpies and into the confliction framework of the confliction of the confl

works of marginalized people shook up dominant systems of cultural production and prepresentation. The process created more variety and driversity, but also intensified cultural resistance as a backlash against oppositional groups of women, people of color, gays and leibians, and, therefore, various marginalized subcultures inevitably began.

The Postmodern Turn and New Media

It seems that to talk reviewaly about portmederation today, one is still by definition being definition. This is became permoderation in Societies (For interview and the same time not only generately providing constraint goods to argue against white as the same time not only generately providing constraint goods to argue against when so many other large has the bear "being is in the as," but also is some reporterors for the same time to the same time to be a state of the same time to be a state argue (and to the same time to be a state are proceedy those term like binory, society and produce other bears and to the same to be a state are proceedy those term like binory, society and produce that the great measures to be at state are proceedy those term like binory, society and produce that the great measures and for come to the late of when the same time to be a state are proceedy those term like binory, society and produce that has general measures and direction to the late of when the a translation and produce that the great measures are direction to the late of when the a translation and the same time to be a translation and the same time time to be a same time to the same time time time time to be a same time to the same time time to be a same time time time.

(Angela McRobbie)

The notion of the postmodern implies a fundamental rupture in culture and history, it suggests that there are important changes in the conomy, society, culture, the arts, and our everyday life which require new theories, ways of perceiving the world, and forms of discourses and practice. Yet as the above quote indicates, postmodernism is extremely controversial with discourses and practices of the "post" attracting some and repelling others.

To make some of the bevolkeing variety of uses of the family of terms within the filled of the pormoders, we would propose distinguishing between modernity and posmodernity as epochs or stages of history, modernism and posmodernity as evolved-posmess within a rigal modern and posmodern throw as posposed modes of theoretical discourse and inteflectual orientations toward the world. In terms of the anarative of our introduction, a posmondern turn in culture and society would correspond to an emergent stage of global capatalame, characterized by new multiture of world in the contract of the contractive of the contr

forms of politis, society, culture, and everyday life.

The many processing the processing the processing of the process

omissions and deficiencies. Hence, we would caution our readers, who are perhaps eager to embrace the latest theories and approaches, to be alert to drawbacks, as well as the benefits of the postmodern turn.

Freech theorie I can Baudriland was one of the first to engage the novel forms of culture in theoriein he modes of minution and hypercally by which he described the energent media and cyberspace of the new technologies. While he was president in perceiving the importance of energent forms of culture and felds of cultural experience rereality, and nascent modes of media and computer culture are autonomous organizing forces of the contemporary would, he forfest insight into the connections between new technologies and forms of culture and the returnarizing of North American cultural theories Freedic Jameson, by courtant, in his finames

article "Dostmodernism, or the Cultural Logic of Late Capitalism," interprets the new forms of postumodern culture within the context of contemporary capitalism, thus connecting the economy and culture. For Jameson, postmodernism is a form of culture appropriate to the plirty surface, loops culture of image and spectack, and high intensity emphasis on appearance, tyle, and look found in contemporary consumer and media culture. Theorizing postmodernism, for Jameson, requires understanding the new forms of global capitalism and culture that are emerging of which postmodernism is a symptomatic form.

Seen in retrospect, the cultural populism, the turn to the audience, and the testibion of the popular that energed in British chaular stated enting the 1980and 1990, can be read as part of a postmodern turn in cultural studies which corresponds to a new stage of commune and global optilism. The Frankfurt School described a muss society and culture that stought to incorporate individual into a more homogenized culture, consolided by the groperation, the state and centralized moda. By contrast, the current form of communer capitalism is more parameted, speakudes, suesthictized and retrieving of difference, cample, joint not the similarities between trategies of postmodernium, abertring, cample, points out the similarities between trategies of postmodernium, abertring, and popular art form such a situs. It also out a new consumer and ends culture.

The pointmedern turn has generated a great variety and diversity of new forms of coultural studies and approaches to the study of media and culture. At their most extreme, postmodern theories erase the economic, political, and social dimensions of column production and reception, engagies in ance from collumnal meteroloogical determinism, subdigies in theoretical bather; and remouse the possibility of textual interpretation, social criticism, and political terusgle. In a more distortical and political versions, postmodern bathers and the color of the color of the color of the last extreme postmodern bathers and the color of the color of the color of the last extreme to intercritical and political states and transfers of the color of the color of the color of the states and impacts of new technologies, the emergent global economy and color, and the north political private and consenses without insigning shaft of questions of political power, domination, and restatuce. In addition, some versions of postmodern theory provide extremely useful transalsocial purp represences, and the Frankfurt School, British cultural studies, feminist, and diverse critical theories at their best

Concluding Remarks

Despite their dissimilarity, many of the theories and methods that we have discussed in this introduction, ranging from the Frankfurt School to British cultural studies to French postmodern theory, are transdisciplinary in terms of their metatheory and practice. Standard academic approaches are discipline-priented with English departments typically analyzing cultural forms as literary texts, Sociology departments focusing on the social dimension of culture. Political Science departments highlighting the politics of culture, and so on. By contrast, transdisciplinary perspectives subvert existing academic boundaries by combining social theory, cultural analysis, and political critique. Such transdisciplinary theory requires knowledge of a multiplicity of methods and theories which we have indeed attempted to assemble in our reader.

While our multiperspectivist approach might suggest to the reader a liberal pluralist tolerance of disparate theories and methods, we want to advance more systematic and critical perspectives. Against pluralism and exlecticism, we believe that it is important to challenge the established academic division of labor and to develop a transdiscinlinary approach that contests both the bifurcation of the field of media and cultural studies and the society that produces it. A transdisciplinary media and cultural studies will thus overcome the boundaries of academic disciplines and will combine political economy, social theory and research, and cultural criticism in its project which aims at critique of domination and social transformation.

Such a transformative venture must also engage the new cultural, political, and social forms of the present era. Confronting new technologies, multimedia, and modes of experience such as cyberspace creates a variety of challenges for media and cultural studies, ranging from the need to chart the emergent cultural terrains and experiences to producing new literacies to analyze and evaluate these spheres and their forms. Since media and culture are themselves a type of pedagogy, one needs to create a counterpedagogy to question and critically analyze the often distorted forms of knowledge, misinformation, deceptive images, and seductive spectacles of the media and consumer society. Cultivating critical media literacy to analyze intelligently contemporary forms of culture calls for advancement of a new postmodern pedagogy that takes seriously image, spectacle, and narrative, and thus promotes visual and media literacy, the ability to read, analyze, and evaluate images, stories, and spectacles of media culture. Yet a postmodern pedagogy is concerned to develop multiple literacies, to rethink literacy itself in relation to new technologies and new cultural forms, and to create a cultural studies that encompasses a wide array of fields, texts, and practices, extending from popular music to poetry and painting to cyberspace and multimedia such as CD-ROMs.

The particular pedagogy employed, however, should be contextual, depending on the concrete situation, interests, and problems within the specific site in which cultural studies is taught or carried out. Yet the pedagogy must address alient general issues. Addic cultures is produced in a context of asymmetries of face, class and general studies. Addic cultures is produced in a context of asymmetries of face, class and general context of the cont

Hence, a new cultural and media studies would productively engage postmodern theory and new interpretive discourses and methods while maintaining important traditional goals such as cultivating literacy, critical thinking, and the art of interpretation. We are currently living in a proliferating image and media culture in which new technologies are changing every dimension of life from the economy to personal identity. In a postmodern media and computer culture, fresh critical strategies are needed to read cultural texts, to interpret the conjunctions of sight and sound, words and images, that are producing seductive cultural spaces, forms, and experiences. This undertaking also involves exploration of the emergent cyberspaces and modes of identities, interaction, and production that is taking place in the rapidly exploding computer culture, as well as exploring the new public spaces where myriad forms of political debate and contestation are evolving. Yet engaging the new forms of culture requires using the tools and insights already gained, rather than simply rejecting all "modern" concepts and theories as irrelevant to the emergent "postmodern" condition. As we have argued, adequately understanding new postmodern phenomena requires contextualization in terms of the way that new cultural artifacts are produced by the dominant mode of production and are used to reproduce - or contest existing figurations of class, race, gender, and other forms of power and domination.

Indeed, a future oriented cultural and media studies should look closely at the development of the entertrainment and information technology industries, the mergers and synergies taking place, and the synthesis of computer and media culture that are being planned and some already implemented. A global media and cyberculture are being planned and some already implemented of the orient and map it accordingly not unrive the detail of the contract and map it accordingly not unrive the dramatic changes currently take place and the even more transformative moveleties of the rigidity approaching further some contractive and the place and the contractive moveleties of the rigidity approaching further some contractive and the place and the contractive moveleties of the rigidity approaching further some contractive and the place and the contractive moveleties of the rigidity approaching further some contractive and the place and the contractive moveleties of the rigidity approaching further some contractive moveletie

.